

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,512	09/08/2003	David L. Cutsforth	1099.1103102	9160	
28075	28075 7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			MCDONALD,	MCDONALD, SHANTESE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\cap	- 0
\bigvee	\sim
٥	ı

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,512	CUTSFORTH, DAVID L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ma	arch 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 34,36-38,44-46,51,52 and 54-62 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 34,36-38,46,51,52 and 54-62 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 44 and 45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on 10/7/05. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall in view of Millas in further view of Grutza et al., (3,965,623)

Marshall teaches forming a groove in a collector ring of an electrical motor, (col. 5, lines 60-64). Marshall teaches all the limitations of the claims except for cutting the groove using a hand held rotary grinder, and the groove being a helical or spiral shaped groove about the outer peripheral surface of the cylindrical collector ring. Millas teaches using a hand held rotary grinder to produce grooves in a workpiece, (col. 1, lines 6-34). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the groove of Marshall using a hand held rotary grinder, as taught by Millas, since the Marshall reference is silent as to what actually performs the machining of the groove, and the Millas reference teaches that it is known in the art to used a hand held grinder in order to mill or cut grooves in a workpiece. Grutza et al. teaches a collector ring with helical or spiral grooves about an outer peripheral surface,

(col. 1, lines 25-41). It would have been further obvious to make the groove helical or spiral shaped about the peripheral surface, as taught by Grutza et al., since Grutza teaches that it is known for the groove to be spiral or helical shaped about the peripheral surface. Therefore it would be possible for one to use the hand held grinder in order to make the groove, since the Marshall, as modified by Millas references teach the method of making a groove in the collector ring using a hand held grinder, and the Grutza reference is silent as to what is making the grooves.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34,36-38,46,51,52,54-62 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44 and 45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,512 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S.L.M. May 25, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mulder